THE REACTION OF 3, 3-DIMETHYL-1, 2-DIOXETANE WITH SODIUM AZIDE¹ W. H. Richardson and V. F. Hodge Department of Chemistry, San Mego State College San Mego, California 92115

(Received in USA 26 January 1971; received in UK for publication 16 February 1971)

Previously we had demonstrated the presence of 3,3-dimethyl-1,2-dioxetane ($\underbar{1a}$, $R_1 = R_2 =$ CH₃, R₃ = R_k = H) as an intermediate in the basic decomposition of chloro-t-butyl hydroperoxide $(\text{CBHP})^2$ and reported the reactions of a few nucleophiles with $1a.^{2c}$ This study is extended to include the more nucleophilic azide ion, which is of particular interest, since an intermediate produced in the reaction of olefins with photochemically generated singlet oxygen is reported to be trapped by azide ion.³ It was suggested³⁹⁴ that the intermediate was either a 1,2-dioxetane (1) or a perepoxide (2) and that reaction with azide ion gave a β -azido hydroperoxide (3).

$$
R_1R_2C - CR_3R_4
$$

\n
$$
R_1R_2C
$$

\n
$$
R_1R_2C
$$

\n
$$
R_1R_2C - CR_3R_4
$$

\n
$$
R_1R_2C - CR_3R_4
$$

\n
$$
R_1R_2C - CR_3R_4
$$

\n
$$
R_1R_3C
$$

\n
$$
R_2R_4
$$

\n
$$
R_3R_4
$$

\n
$$
R_3R_4
$$

Additional evidence has been presented for the generation of 1,2-dioxetanes from the reaction of singlet oxygen with olefins.⁵ Our results with la indicate that azide ion attacks the $1,2$ -dioxetane to give acetone and nitrogen, but that the β -azido hydroperoxide ($3a$, $R_1 = R_2 = CH_3$, $R_3 =$ R_4 = H) is either not produced or if formed, it is a transient intermediate.

During the decomposition of CBHP (initial concentration, 0.0139 M) with base (0.200 M sodium

hydroxide) in 60% aqueous methanol at 30.0° , the iodometric titer decreased at approximately the same rate in the absence $(1.36 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}, \mu = 1.58 \text{ M})$ or the presence of 1.00 M sodium azide $(1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}, \mu = 1.2 \text{ M})$. Similarly, the rate coefficient for decay of light emission, which was shown to equal the iodometric rate coefficient,^{2b} was approximately equal in the absence $(2.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}, \mu = 1.58 \text{ M})$ or presence $(1.99 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}, \mu = 1.58 \text{ M})$ of 0.182 M sodium azide at 30° with an initial CBHP concentration of 1.00 \times 10⁻² M and a base concentration of 1.58 M. Product studies, with reactant concentrations the same as in the former reaction, indicate a 67% yield of acetone (by glc) and 35% yield (by vacuum line, mass spectral analysis) of nitrogen based on the hydroperoxide. Control experiments with t_butyl hydroperoxide, bese and azide ion give no reaction. This indicates that the potential intermediate β -azido hydroperoxide (3a) does not build up in concentration under the basic reaction conditions. Furthermore, significant concentrations of 3a are not formed in the absence of strong base. When an isolated sample of la^{2c} (0.2 M) is treated with sodium azide (0.5 M) in aqueous solution, an immediate evolution of nitrogen results and acetone is the only observable product in the nmr spectrum after nitrogen evolution is complete. A potassium iodide-starch test at this time indicates the absence of peroxides.

By a previously reported kinetic analysis, 2b , C it is found that azide ion does increase the rate disappearance of the 1,2-dioxetane $1a$. The rate coefficient (k_{fobs}) for disappearance of la in the absence of sodium azide is 9.00 x 10^{-3} sec⁻¹ at 30° in 60% aqueous methanol ([CBHP]₀ = 1.00 x 10⁻² M, [Base] = 1.58 M], while in the presence of 0.182 M sodium azide k_{fobs} increases to 23.6 \times 10⁻³ sec⁻¹. It was shown^{2c} that k_{fobs} = k_f + k_{fR}[OH⁻] + k_{fd}[X]; where k_f, k_{fB} and k_{fd} are the unimolecular, base catalyeed and added reactant rate coefficients for the decomposition of <u>la.</u> In this instance, $k_{\text{fd}} \equiv k_{\text{f}}-k_{\text{B}}-1$ and it is calculated to be 80.3 \times 10⁻³ kmol^{-1} sec⁻¹.

Mechanisms can be written where azide ion attacks either oxygen or carbon of 1a to eventually give acetone and nitrogen. The claim that β -azido hydroperoxides are isolable,³ would suggest that attack by azide ion on $\underline{1a}$ occurs at oxygen, since attack at carbon would give $\underline{3a}$. However, analysis of the above kinetic data with the aid of the Edwards Equation⁶ suggests that attack at carbon is most likely and that $3a$ is a transient intermediate. It was demonstrated previously^{2c} that attack by bromide ion on la occurred at oxygen, where $k_{f_d} = k_{f_{Br}} = 18.7 \times 10^{-3}$ kmol⁻¹ sec⁻¹ at 30° in 60% aqueous methanol $([\text{CBHP}]_0 = 1.00 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$, [Base] = 1.58 M, [Br⁻] = 1.00 M). If azide ion also attacks <u>la</u> at oxygen, one calculates⁷ the ratio k_{f _}/kf_r $\frac{N}{N_3}$ ^{-/k}f_{Br}- to be 3.4 × 10⁻⁵.

Instead, the observed ratio is 4.29 (= $80.2 \times 10^{-3}/18.7 \times 10^{-3}$), which differs significantly in magnitude as well as being reversed in order compared to the calculated value and suggests displacement at carbon. A reasonable mechanism for the decomposition of <u>la</u> in the presence of azide ion, which explains the products and is initiated by attack at carbon is given below.

$$
(CH_3)_2^0 = {}^0_{CH_2} \xrightarrow{k_f} CH_3COCH_3 + CH_2O + hv
$$

\nla
\nla
\nla
\nla
\nu
\nu<

 $\underline{6}$ $\xrightarrow{22}$ CH₃COCH₃ + CH₂ = NOH + OH⁻

References

- 1. This work was supported by the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical society.
- 2. (a) W. H. Richardson, J. W. Peters, and W. P. Konopka, Tetrahedron Lett., 5531 (1966); (b) W. H. Richardson and V. F. Hodge, $\frac{151d}{121d}$, 2271 (1970); (c) W. H. Richardson and V. F. Hodge, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, xxxx (1971).
- 3. W. Fenical, D. R. Kearns, and P. Radlick, <u>J. Amer. Chem</u>. <u>Soc</u>., <u>91,</u> 7771 (1969)
- 4. See also: A. G. Schultz and R. H. Schlessinger, <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett., 2731 (1970).
- 5. (a) W. H. Richardson and V. F. Hodge, e. a. Chem.,s 1216 (1970); (b) P. D. Bartlett and A. P. Schaap, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92</u>, 3223 (1970); (c) S. Mazur and C. S. Foote, <u>ibid</u>., <u>92</u> **3275 (1970).**
- **b. J. O. Edwards, <u>161d</u>., <u>76</u>, 1540 (1954).**
- 7. Logk $f_{N_3} k f_{Br} = \alpha (E_{D_{N_3}} E_{D_{Br}}) + \beta (H_{N_3} H_{Br})$, with $\alpha = 6.31$, $\beta = -.394$, $E_{D_{N_3}} = 1.58$ $\mathbf{3}$ $E_{n_{\text{Br}}}$ = 1.51, H_{N_3} = 6.46 and H_{Br} = -6.00.°'
- 8. **The values of a and B** correspond to the reaction Law I").9 of nucleophiles with hydrogen peroxide ("Rate
- **9.** J. 0. Edwards, J. Phys. Chem.,, 56 279 (1952). 3